

# Pontryagin's Maximum Principle for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations

Wilhelm Stannat, Lukas Wessels

Technische Universität Berlin

*wessels@math.tu-berlin.de*

August 30, 2021



Berlin Mathematical School

**BMS**



# Motivation

Consider deterministic control problem: Minimize

$$J(u) := \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t) d\lambda dt + \int_{\Lambda} h(x_T^u(\lambda)) d\lambda$$

subject to

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t).$$

Introduce random input disturbance  $\xi_t(\lambda)$ , i.e.

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t + \xi_t).$$

Taylor expansion yields

$$b(x_t^u, u_t + \xi_t) \approx b(x_t^u, u_t) + b_u(x_t^u, u_t)\xi_t.$$

Leads to controlled equation

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t) + b_u(x_t^u, u_t)\xi_t.$$

# Motivation

Consider deterministic control problem: Minimize

$$J(u) := \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t) d\lambda dt + \int_{\Lambda} h(x_T^u(\lambda)) d\lambda$$

subject to

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t).$$

Introduce random input disturbance  $\xi_t(\lambda)$ , i.e.

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t + \xi_t).$$

Taylor expansion yields

$$b(x_t^u, u_t + \xi_t) \approx b(x_t^u, u_t) + b_u(x_t^u, u_t)\xi_t.$$

Leads to controlled stochastic partial differential equation

$$dx_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)]dt + b_u(x_t^u, u_t)dW_t,$$

where  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an (infinite-dimensional) Brownian motion.

Minimize

$$J(u) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t) d\lambda dt + \int_{\Lambda} h(x_T^u(\lambda)) d\lambda \right]$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} dx_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)] dt + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t) dW_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ x_0^u = x_0 \in L^2(\Lambda), \end{cases}$$

where

- $l, h$  Nemytskii operators of (at most) quadratic growth;
- $b, \sigma$  Nemytskii operators, Lipschitz;
- $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  cylindrical Wiener process;
- $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  bounded domain.

Goal: Characterize optimal controls.

# Pontryagin 1956: Controlled ODEs

Minimize

$$J(u) := \int_0^T l(x_t^u, u_t) dt + h(x_T^u)$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t x_t^u = b(x_t^u, u_t), & t \in [0, T] \\ x_0^u = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\bar{u}$  be optimal. Then

$$\inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(t, \bar{x}_t, u) = \mathcal{H}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t),$$

for almost every  $t \in [0, T]$ , where Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{H}(t, x, u) := l(x, u) + \langle p_t, b(x, u) \rangle$$

where  $p_t$  is the adjoint state.

Minimize

$$J(u) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T l(x_t^u, u_t) dt + h(x_T^u) \right]$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} dx_t^u = b(x_t^u, u_t) dt + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t) dW_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ x_0^u = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\bar{u}$  be optimal. Then

$$\inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, u) = \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t),$$

for almost all  $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ , where generalized Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{G} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(t, x, u) := \mathcal{H}(t, x, u) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma(x, u)^* P_t \sigma(x, u)) + \text{tr}(\sigma(x, u)^* [q_t - P_t \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)])$$

where  $(p_t, q_t)$  first order adjoint state and  $P_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  second order adjoint state.

# Now: Controlled SPDEs

Minimize

$$J(u) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t) d\lambda dt + \int_{\Lambda} h(x_T^u(\lambda)) d\lambda \right]$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} dx_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)] dt + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t) dW_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ x_0^u = x_0 \in L^2(\Lambda). \end{cases}$$

- Since Peng's result: Many generalizations to infinite-dimensional stochastic case by Du, Fuhrman, Frankowska, Guatteri, Hu, Li, Lü, Meng, Tang, Tessitore, Zhang, ...
- Major drawback in previous works: Restrictive assumptions on coefficients  $l$  and  $h$ , in particular excluding quadratic costs.
- Main obstacle: Characterization of second order adjoint state  $P_t \in L(H)$ .

# Taylor Expansions of the Cost Functional



# First Order Expansion of Cost Functional

Let  $\bar{u}$  be optimal. Fix  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $v \in U$ , and set

$$u_t^\varepsilon := \begin{cases} v, & \tau \leq t \leq \tau + \varepsilon, \\ \bar{u}_t & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$0 \leq J(u^\varepsilon) - J(\bar{u}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^\varepsilon, u_t^\varepsilon) - l(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) d\lambda dt + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^\varepsilon) - h(\bar{x}_T) d\lambda \right].$$

Taylor expansions: For terminal costs we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_\Lambda h(x_T^\varepsilon) - h(\bar{x}_T) d\lambda \right] \approx \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_\Lambda h_x(\bar{x}_T) y_T^\varepsilon d\lambda \right],$$

where  $y^\varepsilon$  satisfies linearized state equation

$$\begin{cases} dy_t^\varepsilon = [\Delta y_t^\varepsilon + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon + b(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - b(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)] dt \\ \quad + [\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon + \sigma(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)] dW_t \\ y_0^\varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

# Asymptotic of First Order Taylor Expansions

Applying Itô's formula to  $\|y_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 &= 2 \int_0^t \langle \Delta y_s^\varepsilon + b_x(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)y_s^\varepsilon + b(\bar{x}_s, u_s^\varepsilon) - b(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s), y_s^\varepsilon \rangle ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle y_s^\varepsilon, (\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)y_s^\varepsilon + \sigma(\bar{x}_s, u_s^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)) dW_s \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)y_s^\varepsilon + \sigma(\bar{x}_s, u_s^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)\|_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))}^2 ds.\end{aligned}$$

Itô correction term

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \|\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)y_s^\varepsilon + \sigma(\bar{x}_s, u_s^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)\|_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))}^2 ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^t \|\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)y_s^\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))}^2 ds + 2 \int_\tau^{\tau+\varepsilon} \|\sigma(\bar{x}_s, v) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s, \bar{u}_s)\|_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))}^2 ds.\end{aligned}$$

The last term is of order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ ; we need  $o(\varepsilon)$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  need second order Taylor expansions!

# Variational Equations

First order:

$$\begin{cases} dy_t^\varepsilon = [\Delta y_t^\varepsilon + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t^\varepsilon + b(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - b(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)] dt \\ \quad + [\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t^\varepsilon + \sigma(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)] dW_t \\ y_0^\varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Second order:

$$\begin{cases} dz_t^\varepsilon = [\Delta z_t^\varepsilon + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)z_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}b_{xx}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t^\varepsilon y_t^\varepsilon + (b_x(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t))y_t^\varepsilon] dt \\ \quad + [\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)z_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{xx}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t^\varepsilon y_t^\varepsilon + (\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t))y_t^\varepsilon] dW_t \\ z_0^\varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

## Lemma

*It holds that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|y_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \right] \leq C\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|z_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \right] \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

# Second Order Expansion of Cost Functional

From

$$0 \leq J(u^\varepsilon) - J(\bar{u}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^\varepsilon, u_t^\varepsilon) - l(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) d\lambda dt + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^\varepsilon) - h(\bar{x}_T) d\lambda \right]$$

we derive:

## Lemma

*It holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_\Lambda l_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t)(y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) + z_t^\varepsilon(\lambda)) + \frac{1}{2} l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) d\lambda dt \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_\Lambda h_x(\bar{x}_T(\lambda))(y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) + z_T^\varepsilon(\lambda)) + \frac{1}{2} h_{xx}(\bar{x}_T(\lambda)) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) d\lambda \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), u_t^\varepsilon) - l(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) d\lambda dt \right] \geq o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Next step: Separate dependence on  $\varepsilon$ .

# Adjoint States

# First Order Adjoint State

Consider SPDE

$$\begin{cases} dy_t = [\Delta y_t + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t + \varphi_t] dt + [\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t + \psi_t] dW_t \\ y_0 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$ .

Construct linear functional

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi, \psi) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t)y_t(\lambda) d\lambda dt + \int_{\Lambda} h_x(\bar{x}_T(\lambda))y_T(\lambda) d\lambda \right].$$

By Riesz's representation theorem, there is a unique pair

$$(p, q) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$$

such that

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, p_t \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \langle \psi_t, q_t \rangle_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))} dt \right]$$

for all  $(\varphi, \psi) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$ .

# First Order Adjoint Equation

Adjoint state property:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \langle y_t, l_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) \rangle dt + \langle h_x(\bar{x}_T), y_T \rangle \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \langle p_t, \varphi_t \rangle + \langle q_t, \psi_t \rangle dt \right].$$

Applying Itô's product rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle p_t, y_t \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} &= \langle p_t, dy_t \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \langle y_t, dp_t \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + d\langle p, y \rangle_t \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} [\langle p_t, \varphi_t \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \langle q_t, \psi_t \rangle_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))} - \langle y_t, l_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)}] dt + dM_t. \end{aligned}$$

for some martingale  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{cases} dp_t = - [\Delta p_t + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)p_t + l_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) + \langle \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t), q_t \rangle_{L_2(\Xi, \mathbb{R})}] dt + q_t dW_t \\ p_T = h_x(\bar{x}_T). \end{cases}$$

Unique variational solution  $(p, q)$ , where

$$p \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; H_0^1(\Lambda)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(\Lambda)))$$

and

$$q \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))).$$

Follow same route for quadratic terms

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) d\lambda dt + \int_{\Lambda} h_{xx}(\bar{x}_T(\lambda)) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) d\lambda \right].$$

Peng's idea in finite dimensions: Linearize using tensor product  $y_t^\varepsilon \otimes y_t^\varepsilon$  and derive equation on

$$\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

Infinite dimensional analogue:

$$H \otimes H \cong L_2(H).$$

Problem: In order to perform duality analysis, we need to solve equation in  $L_1(H)$ .



# Explicit Tensor Product

Use explicit representation

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\Lambda) \otimes L^2(\Lambda) &\cong L^2(\Lambda^2) \\ y \otimes z &\leftrightarrow ((\lambda, \mu) \mapsto y(\lambda)z(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite quadratic terms as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) d\lambda dt \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\Lambda} l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) \delta(y_t^\varepsilon \otimes y_t^\varepsilon)(\lambda) d\lambda dt \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta : H_0^1(\Lambda^2) \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda)$  is defined by  $\delta(w)(\lambda) := w(\lambda, \lambda)$ . This expression is linear in  $y_t^\varepsilon \otimes y_t^\varepsilon$ .

# Second Order Adjoint Equation

## Theorem

*The equation*

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} dP_t(\lambda, \mu) &= -[\Delta P_t(\lambda, \mu) + (b_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) + b_x(\bar{x}_t(\mu), \bar{u}_t))P_t(\lambda, \mu) \\ &\quad + \delta^*(l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t)) + \delta^*(b_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t))p_t(\lambda)) \\ &\quad + \langle \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t), \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\mu), \bar{u}_t) \rangle_{L_2(\Xi, \mathbb{R})} P_t(\lambda, \mu) \\ &\quad + \langle \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) + \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\mu), \bar{u}_t), Q_t(\lambda, \mu) \rangle_{L_2(\Xi, \mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + \delta^*(\langle \sigma_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t), q_t \rangle_{L_2(\Xi, \mathbb{R})})] dt + Q_t(\lambda, \mu) dW_t \\ P_T(\lambda, \mu) &= \delta^*(h_{xx}(\bar{x}_T(\lambda))) \end{aligned} \right.$$

*has a unique adapted solution  $(P, Q)$ , where*

$$P \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda^2)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^{-1}(\Lambda^2))),$$

*and*

$$Q \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi; H^{-1}(\Lambda^2))).$$

## Main Result

Let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$  be an optimal pair. Then there exist adapted processes

$$(p, q) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; H_0^1(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$$

satisfying the first order adjoint equation and adapted processes

$$(P, Q) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda^2)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, H^{-1}(\Lambda^2)))$$

satisfying the second order adjoint equation such that

$$\inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, u) = \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t),$$

for almost all  $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ , where  $\mathcal{G} : [0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda) \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(t, x, u) := & \int_{\Lambda} l(x(\lambda), u) d\lambda + \langle p_t, b(x, u) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma(x, u)^* P_t \sigma(x, u)) \\ & + \text{tr}(\sigma(x, u)^* [q_t - P_t \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)]). \end{aligned}$$

# Connection with Dynamic Programming

# Dynamic Programming Approach

Let  $s \in [0, T]$ . Minimize

$$J(s, x; u) := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^T \int_{\Lambda} l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t) d\lambda dt + \int_{\Lambda} h(x_T^u(\lambda)) d\lambda \right]$$

over  $u \in \mathcal{U}_s$  subject to

$$\begin{cases} dx_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)] dt + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t) dW_t, & t \in [s, T] \\ x_s^u = x \in L^2(\Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Introduce value function  $V : [0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$V(s, x) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_s} J(s, x; u).$$

Satisfies Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation

$$\begin{cases} V_s + \langle \Delta x, DV \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(x, u, DV, D^2V) = 0, & (s, x) \in [0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda) \\ V(T, x) = \int_{\Lambda} h(x(\lambda)) d\lambda, & x \in L^2(\Lambda) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(x, u, p, P) := \int_{\Lambda} l(x(\lambda), u) d\lambda + \langle p, b(x, u) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma(x, u)^* P \sigma(x, u)).$$

# Parabolic Viscosity Superdifferential

If  $V \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda))$ , it holds

$$\lim_{\tau \downarrow t, z \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{|\tau - t| + \|z - x\|^2} \left[ V(\tau, z) - V(t, x) - \partial_t V(t, x)(\tau - t) - \langle DV(t, x), z - x \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} - \frac{1}{2} \langle z - x, D^2 V(t, x)(z - x) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} \right] = 0.$$

Weaker notion of differentiability: We say  $(G, p, P) \in D_{t+,x}^{1,2,+} V(t, x)$  if

$$\limsup_{\tau \downarrow t, z \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{|\tau - t| + \|z - x\|^2} \left[ V(\tau, z) - V(t, x) - G(\tau - t) - \langle p, z - x \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} - \frac{1}{2} \langle z - x, P(z - x) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} \right] \leq 0.$$

## Theorem

For almost every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds that

$$\{ -\langle \Delta \bar{x}_t, p_t \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Lambda) \times H_0^1(\Lambda)} - \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t), \infty \} \times \{ p_t \} \times [P_t, \infty) \subset D_{t+,x}^{1,2,+} V(t, \bar{x}_t)$$

$\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely.

## Optimal control of stochastic reaction-diffusion equations:



W. Stannat, L. Wessels

Peng's maximum principle for stochastic partial differential equations

To appear in *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, [arXiv:2105.05194](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.05194), 2021.



W. Stannat, L. Wessels

Viscosity differentials of the value function in optimal control of semilinear stochastic partial differential equations

In preparation.

## Optimal control of mean field equations:



A. Hocquet, A. Vogler

Optimal control of mean field equations with monotone coefficients and applications in neuroscience

To appear in *Appl. Math. Optim.*, [arXiv:2007.01321](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.01321), 2021.



A. Hocquet, A. Vogler

Cubature on Wiener space and the multiplicative Sewing Lemma

In preparation.