Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Optimal Control of Semilinear Stochastic PDEs

Lukas Wessels

joint work with Wilhelm Stannat

Technische Universität Berlin

www.lukaswessels.org

PDE Seminar, Georgia Tech, September 20, 2022

Example

Consider Nagumo equation

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + x_t^u(x_t^u - 1)(a - x_t^u) + u_t, \quad x_0 = x \in L^2(\Lambda),$$

with Neumann boundary conditions, where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ bounded and $a \in (0,1)$.

Introduce control $u : [0, T] \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$. Minimize

$$J(u) = \int_0^T \int_\Lambda \left(x_t^u(\lambda) - x_{\overline{\Lambda}}(t,\lambda)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda \left(x_T^u(\lambda) - x^T(\lambda)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\lambda$$

for given reference profiles $x_{\overline{\Lambda}}, x^T$.



no control



controlled



applied control

Motivation

Consider deterministic control problem: Minimize

$$J(u) := \int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t)\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^u(\lambda))\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

subject to

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t).$$

Introduce random input disturbance $\xi_t(\lambda)$, i.e.

$$\partial_t x_t^u = \Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t + \xi_t).$$

Taylor expansion yields

$$b(x_t^u, u_t + \xi_t) \approx b(x_t^u, u_t) + b_u(x_t^u, u_t)\xi_t.$$

Leads to controlled stochastic PDE

$$\mathrm{d}x_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)]\mathrm{d}t + b_u(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}W_t,$$

where $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an (infinite-dimensional) Brownian motion.

Setting

Minimize

$$J(u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t)\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^u(\lambda))\mathrm{d}\lambda\right]$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases}\mathrm{d}x_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)]\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad t \in [0, T]\\ x_0^u = x_0 \in L^2(\Lambda),\end{cases}$$

where

- l, h Nemytskii operators of (at most) quadratic growth
- b, σ Nemytskii operators, Lipschitz
- $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ cylindrical Wiener process
- $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded domain
- control domain U non-convex.

The Maximum Principle

Pontryagin 1956: Controlled ODEs

Minimize

$$J(u) := \int_0^T l(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}t + h(x_T^u)$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t x_t^u = b(x_t^u, u_t), & t \in [0, T] \\ x_0^u = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{u}$ be optimal. Then

$$\inf_{u \in U} H(t, \bar{x}_t, u) = H(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t),$$

for almost every $t \in [0, T]$, where Hamiltonian $H : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}$

$$H(t, x, u) := l(x, u) + \langle p_t, b(x, u) \rangle$$

where p_t is the adjoint state.

Peng 1990: Controlled SDEs

Minimize

$$J(u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T l(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}t + h(x_T^u)\right]$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}x_t^u = b(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ x_0^u = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{u}$ be optimal. Then

$$\inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, u) = \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t),$$

for almost all $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, where generalized Hamiltonian $\mathcal{G} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{G}(t, x, u) := H(t, x, u) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\sigma(x, u)^* P_t \sigma(x, u)) + \mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma(x, u)^* \left[q_t - P_t \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)\right]\right)$$

where (p_t, q_t) first order adjoint state and $P_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ second order adjoint state.

Now: Controlled SPDEs

Minimize

$$J(u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t)\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^u(\lambda))\mathrm{d}\lambda\right]$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases}\mathrm{d}x_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)]\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ x_0^u = x_0 \in L^2(\Lambda).\end{cases}$$

- Since Peng's result: Many generalizations to infinite-dimensional stochastic case by Du, Fuhrman, Frankowska, Guatteri, Hu, Li, Lü, Meng, Tang, Tessitore, Zhang, ...
- Major drawback in previous works: Restrictive assumptions on coefficients l and h, in particular excluding quadratic costs.
- Main obstacle: Characterization of second order adjoinst state $P_t \in L(H)$.

Spike Variation

Let $\bar{u}$ be optimal. Fix $\tau \in [0, T]$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $v \in U$, and set

$$u_t^\varepsilon := \begin{cases} v, & \tau \le t \le \tau + \varepsilon, \\ \bar{u}_t & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$0 \le J(u^\varepsilon) - J(\bar{u}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^\varepsilon, u_t^\varepsilon) - l(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^\varepsilon) - h(\bar{x}_T) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right].$$

Taylor expansions: For terminal costs we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_\Lambda h(x_T^\varepsilon) - h(\bar{x}_T) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right] \approx \mathbb{E}\left[\int_\Lambda h_x(\bar{x}_T) y_T^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}\lambda \right],$$

where y^ε satisfies linearized state equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}y_t^\varepsilon = \left[\Delta y_t^\varepsilon + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon + b(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - b(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) \right] \mathrm{d}t \\ \qquad\qquad + \left[\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon + \sigma(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) \right] \mathrm{d}W_t \\ y_0^\varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Asymptotic of First Order Taylor Expansions

Applying Itô's formula to $\|y^\varepsilon_t\|^2_{L^2(\Lambda)}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|y^\varepsilon_t\|^2_{L^2(\Lambda)} =& 2\int_0^t \langle \Delta y^\varepsilon_s + b_x(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)y^\varepsilon_s + b(\bar{x}_s,u^\varepsilon_s) - b(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s), y^\varepsilon_s\rangle \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ 2\int_0^t \langle y^\varepsilon_s, (\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)y^\varepsilon_s + \sigma(\bar{x}_s,u^\varepsilon_s) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s))\mathrm{d}W_s\rangle \\ &+ \int_0^t \|\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)y^\varepsilon_s + \sigma(\bar{x}_s,u^\varepsilon_s) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)\|^2_{L_2(\Xi,L^2(\Lambda))}\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Itô correction term

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \|\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)y^\varepsilon_s + \sigma(\bar{x}_s,u^\varepsilon_s) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)\|^2_{L_2(\Xi,L^2(\Lambda))}\mathrm{d}s \\ \leq& 2\int_0^t \|\sigma_x(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)y^\varepsilon_s\|^2_{L_2(\Xi,L^2(\Lambda))}\mathrm{d}s + 2\int_\tau^{\tau+\varepsilon} \|\sigma(\bar{x}_s,v) - \sigma(\bar{x}_s,\bar{u}_s)\|^2_{L_2(\Xi,L^2(\Lambda))}\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

The last term is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$; we need $o(\varepsilon)$. $\rightsquigarrow$ need second order Taylor expansions!

Variational Equations

First order:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}y_t^\varepsilon = [\Delta y_t^\varepsilon + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon + b(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - b(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)]\,\mathrm{d}t \\ \qquad\qquad + [\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon + \sigma(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)]\,\mathrm{d}W_t \\ y_0^\varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Second order:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}z_t^\varepsilon = \left[\Delta z_t^\varepsilon + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) z_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} b_{xx}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon y_t^\varepsilon + (b_x(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)) y_t^\varepsilon\right]\mathrm{d}t \\ \qquad\qquad + \left[\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) z_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{xx}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon y_t^\varepsilon + (\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, u_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)) y_t^\varepsilon\right]\mathrm{d}W_t \\ z_0^\varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma

It holds that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\|y_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2\right] \leq C\varepsilon \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\|z_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2\right] \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Second Order Expansion of Cost Functional

From

$$0 \leq J(u^\varepsilon) - J(\bar{u}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^\varepsilon, u_t^\varepsilon) - l(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)\mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^\varepsilon) - h(\bar{x}_T)\mathrm{d}\lambda\right]$$

we derive:

Lemma

It holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t)(y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) + z_t^\varepsilon(\lambda)) + \frac{1}{2} l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t\right] \\
&+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_\Lambda h_x(\bar{x}_T(\lambda))(y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) + z_T^\varepsilon(\lambda)) + \frac{1}{2} h_{xx}(\bar{x}_T(\lambda)) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda\right] \\
&+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), u_t^\varepsilon) - l(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t)\mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t\right] \geq o(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Next step: Separate dependence on ε.

First Order Adjoint State

Consider SPDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}y_t = [\Delta y_t + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t + \varphi_t]\,\mathrm{d}t + [\sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)y_t + \psi_t]\,\mathrm{d}W_t \\ y_0 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $(\varphi, \psi) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$.
Construct linear functional

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi, \psi) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t)y_t(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h_x(\bar{x}_T(\lambda))y_T(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda\right].$$

By Riesz's representation theorem, there is a unique pair

$$(p, q) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$$

such that

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, p_t\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \langle \psi_t, q_t\rangle_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))}\mathrm{d}t\right]$$

for all $(\varphi, \psi) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$.

First Order Adjoint Equation

Adjoint state property:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \langle y_t, l_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)\rangle \mathrm{d}t + \langle h_x(\bar{x}_T), y_T\rangle\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \langle p_t, \varphi_t\rangle + \langle q_t, \psi_t\rangle \mathrm{d}t\right].$$

Applying Itô's product rule yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\langle p_t, y_t\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} =& \langle p_t, \mathrm{d}y_t\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \langle y_t, \mathrm{d}p_t\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \mathrm{d}\langle p, y\rangle_t \\ \overset{!}{=}& \left[\langle p_t, \varphi_t\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \langle q_t, \psi_t\rangle_{L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))} - \langle y_t, l_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)}\right] \mathrm{d}t + dM_t.\end{aligned}$$

for some martingale $(M_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}p_t = -\left[\Delta p_t + b_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)p_t + l_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) + \langle \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t), q_t\rangle_{L_2(\Xi, \mathbb{R})}\right] \mathrm{d}t + q_t \mathrm{d}W_t \\ p_T = h_x(\bar{x}_T). \end{cases}$$

Unique variational solution (p, q), where

$$p \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; H_0^1(\Lambda)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(\Lambda)))$$

and

$$q \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda))).$$

Backward Stochastic Differential Equations

Let $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion and $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ its natural filtration. Consider the BSDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}p_t = 0 \\ p_T = \xi, \end{cases}$$

where ξ is $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable. ⚠ Adapted solution doesn't exist!
Natural candidate: $p_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t]$. However, does not solve BSDE. Martingale representation theorem:

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\xi] + \int_0^t q_s \mathrm{d}W_s.$$

Restate original problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}p_t = q_t \mathrm{d}W_t \\ p_T = \xi. \end{cases}$$

For $\xi = f(W_T)$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[f(W_T)|\mathcal{F}_t\right] = S_{T-t}f(W_t) = S_T f(W_0) + \int_0^t \nabla S_{T-s} f(W_s) \mathrm{d}W_s$$

Quadratic Terms

Follow same route for quadratic terms

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda), \bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h_{xx}(\bar{x}_T(\lambda)) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_T^\varepsilon(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda\right].$$

Peng's idea in finite dimensions: Linearize using tensor product $y_t^\varepsilon \otimes y_t^\varepsilon$ and derive equation on

$$\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}.$$

Infinite dimensional analogue:

$$H \otimes H \cong L_2(H).$$

Problem: Quadratic costs require duality analysis between $L_1(H)$ and $L(H)$.

Explicit Tensor Product

Use explicit representation

$$L^2(\Lambda) \otimes L^2(\Lambda) \cong L^2(\Lambda^2)$$
$$y \otimes z \leftrightsquigarrow ((\lambda,\mu) \mapsto y(\lambda)z(\mu)) .$$

Rewrite quadratic terms as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) y_t^\varepsilon(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_\Lambda l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t) \delta(y_t^\varepsilon \otimes y_t^\varepsilon)(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}t\right],$$

where $\delta : H_0^1(\Lambda^2) \to L^2(\Lambda)$ is defined by $\delta(w)(\lambda) := w(\lambda,\lambda)$. This expression is linear in $y_t^\varepsilon \otimes y_t^\varepsilon$.

Second Order Adjoint Equation

Theorem (Stannat, W., SICON 2021)

The equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}P_t(\lambda,\mu) = -[\Delta P_t(\lambda,\mu) + (b_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t) + b_x(\bar{x}_t(\mu),\bar{u}_t))P_t(\lambda,\mu) \\ \qquad +\langle \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t), \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\mu),\bar{u}_t)\rangle_{L_2(\Xi,\mathbb{R})} P_t(\lambda,\mu) \\ \qquad +\langle \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t) + \sigma_x(\bar{x}_t(\mu),\bar{u}_t), Q_t(\lambda,\mu)\rangle_{L_2(\Xi,\mathbb{R})} \\ \qquad +\delta^*(l_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t)) + \delta^*(b_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t)p_t(\lambda)) \\ \qquad +\delta^*(\langle \sigma_{xx}(\bar{x}_t(\lambda),\bar{u}_t), q_t\rangle_{L_2(\Xi,\mathbb{R})})]\mathrm{d}t + Q_t(\lambda,\mu)\mathrm{d}W_t \\ P_T(\lambda,\mu) = \delta^*(h_{xx}(\bar{x}_T(\lambda))) \end{cases}$$

has a unique adapted solution (P,Q), *where*

$$P \in L^2([0,T]\times\Omega; L^2(\Lambda^2)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0,T]; H^{-1}(\Lambda^2))),$$

and

$$Q \in L^2([0,T]\times\Omega; L_2(\Xi; H^{-1}(\Lambda^2))).$$

Peng's Maximum Principle for SPDEs

Theorem (Stannat, W., SICON 2021)

Let $(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$ be an optimal pair. Then there exist adapted processes

$$(p, q) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; H_0^1(\Lambda)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, L^2(\Lambda)))$$

satisfying the first order adjoint equation and adapted processes

$$(P, Q) \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\Lambda^2)) \times L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(\Xi, H^{-1}(\Lambda^2)))$$

satisfying the second order adjoint equation such that

$$\inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, u) = \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t),$$

for almost all $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, *where* $\mathcal{G} : [0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda) \times U \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{G}(t, x, u) := \int_\Lambda l(x(\lambda), u) \mathrm{d}\lambda + \langle p_t, b(x, u) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + tr(\sigma(x, u)^* q_t)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} tr(\sigma(x, u)^* P_t \sigma(x, u)) - tr(\sigma(x, u)^* P_t \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)) .$$

The Dynamic Programming Approach

Dynamic Programming Approach

Minimize

$$J(s,x;u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T \int_\Lambda l(x_t^u(\lambda), u_t)\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}t + \int_\Lambda h(x_T^u(\lambda))\mathrm{d}\lambda\right]$$

over $u \in \mathcal{U}_s$ subject to

$$\begin{cases}\mathrm{d}x_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + b(x_t^u, u_t)]\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, & t \in [s, T]\\ x_s^u = x \in L^2(\Lambda).\end{cases}$$

Introduce value function $V : [0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$V(s,x) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_s} J(s,x;u).$$

Satisfies dynamic programming principle

$$V(s,x) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_s} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^\tau l(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}t + V(\tau, x_\tau^u)\right], \qquad \forall \tau \in [s, T].$$

Can be used to derive optimality conditions.

Link Between Value Function and Adjoint States

Under smoothness assumptions, it holds

$$\begin{cases} V_s(t,\bar{x}_t) = -\langle \Delta \bar{x}_t, DV(t,\bar{x}_t)\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} - \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t, DV(t,\bar{x}_t), D^2V(t,\bar{x}_t)) \\ DV(t,\bar{x}_t) = p_t \\ D^2V(t,\bar{x}_t)\sigma(\bar{x}_t,\bar{u}_t) = q_t, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(x,u,p,P) := \int_\Lambda l(x(\lambda),u)\mathrm{d}\lambda + \langle p, b(x,u)\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma(x,u)^* P \sigma(x,u)\right).$$

Generalizations dropping smoothness assumptions and using viscosity differentials up to first order in infinite dimensions by Cannarsa, Frankowska, Zhou, ...

Viscosity Differentials

If $V \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times L^2(\Lambda))$, it holds

$$\lim_{\tau \downarrow t, z \to x} \frac{1}{|\tau - t| + \|z - x\|^2} \Big[V(\tau, z) - V(t,x) - \partial_t V(t,x)(\tau - t) - \langle DV(t,x), z - x \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} - \frac{1}{2} \langle z - x, D^2 V(t,x)(z-x) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} \Big] = 0.$$

Weaker notion of differentiability:

Definition (Viscosity Superdifferential)

We say $(G, p, P) \in D^{1,2,+}_{t+,x} V(t,x)$ *if*

$$\limsup_{\tau \downarrow t, z \to x} \frac{1}{|\tau - t| + \|z - x\|^2} \Big[V(\tau, z) - V(t,x) - G(\tau - t) - \langle p, z - x \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} - \frac{1}{2} \langle z - x, P(z-x) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} \Big] \leq 0.$$

Link Between Value Function and Adjoint States

Theorem (Stannat, W. (2022+))

For almost every $t \in [0, T]$, it holds

$$[-\langle \Delta \bar{x}_t, p_t \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Lambda) \times H_0^1(\Lambda)} - \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t), \infty) \times \{p_t\} \times [P_t, \infty) \subset D^{1,2,+}_{t+,x} V(t, \bar{x}_t)$$

$\mathbb{P}$-almost surely.

Corollary (Stannat, W. (2022+))

It holds for almost all $t \in [s, T]$

$$\mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) \leq \mathcal{H}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t, p_t, P_t),$$

$\mathbb{P}$-almost surely, i.e.,

$$tr(\sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t)(q_t - P_t \sigma(\bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t))) \leq 0.$$

Viscosity Solutions

Value function formally satisfies Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation

$$\begin{cases} V_s + \langle \Delta x, DV \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(x, u, DV, D^2 V) = 0, & (s, x) \in [0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda) \\ V(T, x) = \int_\Lambda h(x(\lambda)) \mathrm{d}\lambda, & x \in L^2(\Lambda) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(x, u, p, P) := \int_\Lambda l(x(\lambda), u) \mathrm{d}\lambda + \langle p, b(x, u) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \left(\sigma(x, u)^* P \sigma(x, u) \right).$$

Definition (Viscosity Solution, Bounded Case)

V is viscosity subsolution, if

- $V(T, x) \leq \int_\Lambda h(x(\lambda)) \mathrm{d}\lambda, \quad x \in L^2(\Lambda);$
- *for every* $(G, p, P) \in D^{1,2,+}_{t,x} V(t, x)$

$$G + \langle Ax, p \rangle + \inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(x, u, p, P) \geq 0.$$

Viscosity Solutions II

It holds:

$$\boxed{(G, p, P) \in D^{1,2,+}_{t,x} v(t, x)}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$\exists \phi \in C^{1,2}((s, T) \times L^2(\Lambda))$ such that:

1. $v - \phi$ attains maximum at (t, x),
2. $(\phi(t, x), \partial_t \phi(t, x), D\phi(t, x), D^2\phi(t, x)) = (v(t, x), G, p, P)$.

Equivalent definition of viscosity solution in the bounded case (!):

Definition (Viscosity Solution, Bounded Case)

V is a viscosity subsolution, if

- $V(T, x) \leq \int_\Lambda h(x(\lambda))\mathrm{d}\lambda, \quad x \in L^2(\Lambda);$
- $\forall \phi \in C^{1,2}((s, T) \times L^2(\Lambda))$ *such that* $V - \phi$ *attains maximum at* (t, x), *it holds*

$$\phi_s(t, x) + \langle Ax, D\phi(t, x)\rangle + \inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(x, u, D\phi(t, x), D^2\phi(t, x)) \geq 0.$$

Generalized Hamiltonian vs. Hamiltonian

Corollary (Stannat, W. (2022+))

It holds for almost all $t \in [s, T]$

$$\mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) \leq \mathcal{H}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t, p_t, P_t).$$

Proof (bounded case!): Take test function ϕ satisfying

$$(\phi(t, \bar{x}_t), \phi_t(t, \bar{x}_t), D\phi(t, \bar{x}_t), D^2\phi(t, \bar{x}_t)) = (V(t, \bar{x}_t), -\langle A\bar{x}_t, p_t \rangle - \mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t), p_t, P_t).$$

Since V is a viscosity solution of the HJB equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \phi_t(t, \bar{x}_t) + \langle A\bar{x}_t, D\phi(t, \bar{x}_t) \rangle + \inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(t, \bar{x}_t, u, D\phi(t, \bar{x}_t), D^2\phi(t, \bar{x}_t)) \\ &= -\mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) - \langle A\bar{x}_t, p_t \rangle + \langle A\bar{x}_t, p_t \rangle + \inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(t, \bar{x}_t, u, p_t, P_t) \\ &\leq -\mathcal{G}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t) + \mathcal{H}(t, \bar{x}_t, \bar{u}_t, p_t, P_t) \end{aligned}$$

□

Generalized Hamiltonian vs. Hamiltonian

HJB equation:

$$\begin{cases} V_s + \langle \Delta x, DV \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \inf_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(x, u, DV, D^2 V) = 0, \quad (s, x) \in [0, T] \times L^2(\Lambda) \\ V(T, x) = \int_\Lambda h(x(\lambda)) \mathrm{d}\lambda, \quad x \in L^2(\Lambda) \end{cases}$$

Problematic term:

$$\langle \Delta x, D\phi(t, x) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)}, \qquad x \in L^2(\Lambda).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ Need to restrict class of test functions.

We only need to make sense of

$$\langle \Delta \bar{x}_t, D\phi(t, \bar{x}_t) \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)},$$

where $\bar{x}_t \in H_0^1(\Lambda)$, $\mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathbb{P}$-a.s.

Verification Theorem

Minimize

$$J(s,x;u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T L(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}t + H(x_T^u)\right]$$

over $u \in \mathcal{U}_s$ subject to

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}x_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + B(x_t^u, u_t)]\mathrm{d}t + \Sigma(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad t \in [s,T] \\ x_s^u = x \in L^2(\Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Smooth verification theorem: Let (x^*, u^*) be admissible such that

$$u_t^* \in \arg\min_{u \in U} \mathcal{H}(x_t^*, u, DV(t, x_t^*), D^2V(t, x_t^*)),$$

for almost every (t,ω). Then (x^*, u^*) is optimal.

Verification theorems in the stochastic case in the framework of viscosity solutions by Fabbri, Gozzi, Li, Święch, Yong, Zhou, ...

Verification Theorem

Minimize

$$J(s, x; u) := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T L(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}t + H(x_T^u)\right]$$

over $u \in \mathcal{U}_s$ subject to

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}x_t^u = [\Delta x_t^u + B(x_t^u, u_t)]\mathrm{d}t + \Sigma(x_t^u, u_t)\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad t \in [s, T] \\ x_s^u = x \in L^2(\Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Smooth verification theorem: Let (x^*, u^*) be admissible such that

$$V_s(t, x_t^*) + \langle \Delta x_t^*, DV(t, x_t^*)\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda)} + \mathcal{H}(x^*, u_t^*, DV(t, x_t^*), D^2V(t, x_t^*)) = 0$$

for almost every (t, ω). Then (x^*, u^*) is optimal.

Verification theorems in the stochastic case in the framework of viscosity solutions by Fabbri, Gozzi, Li, Święch, Yong, Zhou, ...

Verification Theorem

Theorem (Stannat, W. (2022+))

Assume

- $\|\Sigma(x,u)\|_{L_2(\Xi, H_0^1(\Lambda))} \leq C(1 + \|x\|_{H_0^1(\Lambda)})$
- $V(t+\tau, x) - V(t,x) \leq C(1 + \|x\|^2_{H_0^1(\Lambda)})\tau$
- $V(t,\cdot) - C\|\cdot\|^2_{L^2(\Lambda)}$ *is concave.*

Let (x^*, u^*) *be an admissible pair. Suppose there are adapted processes* (G, p, P) *taking values in* $\mathbb{R}$, $H_0^1(\Lambda)$ *and* $L_2(L^2(\Lambda))$, *such that for almost all* $t \in [s, T]$*:*

$$(G_t, p_t, P_t) \in D^{1,2,+}_{t+,x} V(t, x_t^*)$$

$\mathbb{P}$*-almost surely, and*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T G_t + \langle \Delta x_t^*, p_t \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Lambda)\times H_0^1(\Lambda)} + \mathcal{H}(x_t^*, u_t^*, p_t, P_t)\mathrm{d}t\right] \geq 0.$$

Then (x^*, u^*) *is an optimal pair.*

References

W. Stannat, L. Wessels
Peng's maximum principle for stochastic partial differential equations
SIAM J. Control Optim. 59 (2021), pp. 3552–3573.

W. Stannat, L. Wessels
Necessary and sufficient conditions for optimal control of semilinear SPDEs
Submitted, arXiv:2112.09639.

W. Stannat, L. Wessels
Deterministic control of stochastic reaction-diffusion equations
Evol. Equ. Control Theory 10 (2021), pp. 701–722.

S. Peng
A general stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problems
SIAM J. Control Optim. 28 (1990), pp. 966–979.

L. S. Pontryagin, V. G. Boltyanskii, R. V. Gamkrelidze, and E. F. Mishchenko
The mathematical theory of optimal processes
Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1962.